IEEJ: June 2012 All Right Reserved

Special Bulletin

A Japanese Perspective on the International Energy Landscape (91)

June 16, 2012

OPEC Production Ceiling Left Unchanged at 30 Million bpd at 161st Meeting

Ken Koyama, PhD

Chief Economist, Managing Director

The Institute of Energy Economics, Japan

The Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries, at its 161st General Meeting on June

14 in Vienna, Austria, discussed such topics as recent crude oil price trends, the supply-demand

balance in the international oil market in the second half of this year and the future course of the

world economy, and decided to leave the oil production ceiling at 30 million barrels per day for its

12 member countries.

In the international oil market, the benchmark West Texas Intermediate crude oil futures

price continued an upsurge from the beginning of this year and soared above \$100/barrel before

weakening on a resurgence of European credit fears from May. Just before the OPEC General

Meeting, the WTI futures price moved in the \$80-85/barrel range and indicated a market

environment change from the upward trend earlier this year. Due mainly to Saudi Arabia's oil output

expansion, actual OPEC oil production far exceeded the ceiling of 30 million bpd as set at the 160th

OPEC General meeting last December.

Under such market environment, OPEC reached an agreement to keep the production

quota unchanged, as well expected by most market analysts including the author. The decision came

as no surprise.

This quite-expected decision does not necessarily mean that discussions at the meeting

were smooth with no need for coordination of views. Rather, market analysts before the meeting

were aware that there were differences over an adjustment of the production quota. Saudi Arabia

called for raising the production quota, while Iran and others advocated lowering the quota.

The former view means that the quota has been far below the actual production level, has

become meaningless, and should be raised to meet the actual production level, and that crude oil

prices including the \$80-90/barrel range for the WTI futures and around \$100/barrel for the North

Sea Brent futures are still historically high and should be stabilized. Another factor behind the view

1

## IEEJ: June 2012 All Right Reserved

might have been that world oil demand is expected to moderately increase in the second half of this year as indicated in the communiqué issued after the OPEC meeting (although the demand increase could be covered by a rise in non-OPEC oil output).

The latter view represented by Iran indicates that the production ceiling should be lowered instead of raised for such reasons as (1) the easing supply-demand balance as indicated by crude oil price falls from May in the international oil market and (2) (excessively) abundant supply in the international oil market. Behind this view is a more serious situation for oil-producing countries that cannot take the Saudi Arabian option of expanding oil output to offset the impact of recent oil price falls on oil revenues. They apparently hope to take advantage of a cut in the oil production quota (that can send a signal of supply cuts to the market) to create momentum for a crude oil price upturn to boost oil revenues.

It may not be easy to coordinate the two different views because they indicate that OPEC members could be sharply divided not only over the supply-demand balance in the international oil market but also over policy decisions regarding the impact of an action by OPEC on the world economy and geopolitical decisions for the Middle East and oil-producing countries. One realistic problem is that great uncertainties exist with regard to international politics, the global economy and the international energy situation. Given the risk of great uncertainties, it was realistic and reasonable for OPEC to prevent any OPEC division from surfacing and adopt a wait-and-see policy. In this sense, the OPEC decision met majority expectations.

The OPEC communiqué from the latest general meeting interestingly spells out and puts in order key points and risks for OPEC's future market analysis. While the presence of geopolitical risks is important, the European economic fears and downside risks to the world economy are even more important for the immediate future. The communiqué signals grave concerns on these problems.

The latest OPEC General Meeting decision indicated a forecast that had been well reflected in the oil market. Therefore, it may not be any major price-moving factor in the international oil market. Rather, the most important crude oil price drivers are the results of the approaching Greek parliamentary election, market fears regarding Spanish government bonds, indications of the debt crisis spillover to Italy and other countries, and other future developments in the European economy that form the downside risks indicated in the communiqué. Just as OPEC members are urged by the cartel, economic stakeholders in the world are required to closely monitor European economic problems and risks to the world economy. They must not only monitor such problems but also make timely, appropriate responses to market developments. Without such

IEEJ: June 2012 All Right Reserved

responses, the world economy and the international oil market may plunge into severe turbulence or

turmoil. The problem analysis indicated by the OPEC meeting is apparently common to the world

economy and international financial markets as well as to the international oil market.

Contact: report@tky.ieej.or.jp

The back issues are available at the following URL

http://eneken.ieej.or.jp/en/whatsnew/JPOIEL.html

3